Entropy: two short stories

John Chalker

Entropy

In thermodynamics



In statistical mechanics

 $S = k_{\rm B} \ln W$

Reflections on the Motive Power of Fire Sadi Carnot (1824)

Everyone knows that heat can produce motion. That it possesses vast motive-power no one can doubt, in these days when the steam-engine is everywhere so well known.

Reflections on the Motive Power of Fire Sadi Carnot (1824)

The question has often been raised whether the motive power of heat is unbounded, whether the possible improvements in steam-engines have an assignable limit, a limit which the nature of things will not allow to be passed by any means whatever; or whether, on the contrary, these improvements may be carried on indefinitely.

Reflections on the Motive Power of Fire Sadi Carnot (1824)

In order to consider in the most general way the principle of the production of motion by heat, it must be considered independently of any mechanism or any particular agent. It is necessary to establish principles applicable not only to steam-engines but to all imaginable heat-engines.

Heat engine



$$\eta = 1 - \frac{Q_{\text{out}}}{Q_{\text{in}}} \le 1 - \frac{T_{\text{sink}}}{T_{\text{source}}}$$

Black hole-based heat engine





Operation cycle



Black hole revision

Escape velocity from $\frac{1}{2}mv^2 = \frac{GMm}{r}$

Radius of event horizon $R = \frac{2GM}{c^2}$

Gravitational acceleration

$$g = \frac{GM}{R^2} \sim \frac{c^4}{GM}$$

Operation cycle



Efficiency?

Would be 100% if heat from source lowered

fully to event horizon

 $\eta = 1 - {\rm fraction} ~{\rm of}$ potential energy not extracted

$$= 1 - \frac{mgd}{Q_{\rm in}} = 1 - \frac{gd}{c^2}$$

How small can we make d ?

Radiation from source must fit in box $d \gtrsim \lambda$

 $k_{\rm B}T_{\rm source} \sim \hbar\omega \sim \hbar c/\lambda \quad \Rightarrow$

$$d \gtrsim \hbar c / k_{\rm B} T_{\rm source}$$

Implications?

Thermodynamics

Black hole engine

$$\eta \le 1 - \frac{T_{\text{sink}}}{T_{\text{source}}} \qquad \qquad \eta = 1 - \frac{gd}{c^2} \le 1 - \frac{g\hbar}{ck_{\text{B}}T_{\text{source}}}$$

Black hole temperature

$$T_{\rm sink} \sim \frac{g\hbar}{ck_{\rm B}} \sim \frac{\hbar c^3}{k_{\rm B}GM}$$

Beckenstein (1973)

Hawking (1974)

Boltzmann entropy



Residual entropy of ice



Pauling (1935)

Square ice



Square ice



Square ice



Counting

Consider ice crystal with N oxygen ions and 2N protons

Unconstrained no of proton positions: 2^{2N}

Around one oxygen ion: $\frac{6}{16}$ of positions permitted

For whole crystal: $W \approx 2^{2N} \times \left(\frac{6}{16}\right)^N = \left(\frac{24}{16}\right)^N = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^N$

Residual entropy of ice: *k*

$$k_{\rm B}\ln W \approx Nk_{\rm B}\ln(3/2)$$

Magnetic analogue of ice

Water ice

Spin ice



Ground states: 'two-in, two-out'

Pauling entropy in experiment



 $Dy_2Ti_2O_7$, Ramirez *et al*, Nature 399, 333 (1999).

The Nature of the Physical World

Arthur Eddington (1928)

The law that entropy always increases—the second law of thermodynamics – holds, I think, the supreme position among the laws of nature. If someone points out to you that your pet theory of the universe is in disagreement with Maxwell's equations—then so much the worse for Maxwell's equations. If it is found to be contradicted by observation – well, these experimentalists do bungle things sometimes. But if your theory is found to be against the second law of thermodynamics I can give you no hope; there is nothing for it but to collapse in deepest humiliation.